

REMARKS

Claims 21-40 are pending in the above-referenced application. Applicants note with appreciation the allowance of claims 21-31.

Claims 32-40 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶1, for failing to comply with the written description requirement. The Examiner asserts that no support exists for the term "storage medium." Applicants disagree. A patent specification can be relied on not only for what is expressly described, but for what is also inherent therein. As is abundantly clear, the present specification is directed to a method governing the operation of an access point. This method is implemented as computer readable instructions. If the access point is governed by computer readable instructions, then the access point must include a storage medium to store the instructions. Quite obviously, the instructions must exist in such a medium in order to be accessed when needed by the access point to perform its functions. Thus, as surely as the express disclosure of a computer necessarily supports the implicit disclosure of a memory, so does an access point, which is a type of computer due to its processing capabilities, likewise support a storage medium. Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 29, 2008

By: 

Oleg F. Kaplun, Reg. No. 45,559

Fay Kaplun & Marcin, LLP

150 Broadway, Suite 702

New York, NY 10038

Tel: (212) 619-6000

Fax: (212) 619-0276